#### COURT-II IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY (APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

### <u>RP NO. 05 OF 2018 IN</u> APPEAL NO. 107 OF 2015 & APPEAL NO. 117 OF 2015 & IA NO. 1393 OF 2018

Dated: 11<sup>th</sup> October, 2018

# Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.K. Patil, Judicial Member Hon'ble Mr. S.D. Dubey, Technical Member

### In the matter of:

Lanco Amarkantak Power Ltd. Versus		Petitioner(s)
Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors		Respondent(s)
Counsel for the Petitioner(s) :	Mr. Gopal Jain, Sr. Adv. Mr. Tejasv Anand	
Counsel for the Respondent(s) :	Mr. M. G. Ramachandran	

Mr. Shubham Arya Ms. Anushree Bardhan for R-2 & 3

Mr. Yashaswi Kant for R-4

#### ORDER [IA NO. 1393 OF 2018 – Delay in filing Rejoinder]

In this application, the petitioner has prayed that delay of 7 days in filing rejoinder may kindly be condoned.

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the explanation offered for the delay in filing rejoinder. We find the explanation to be acceptable. Sufficient cause has been made out. Hence, delay in filing rejoinder is condoned and rejoinder is taken on record. Application is disposed of.

# <u>RP NO. 05 OF 2018 IN</u> <u>APPEAL NO. 107 OF 2015 &</u> <u>APPEAL NO. 117 OF 2015</u>

Learned counsel, Mr. Yashasvi Kant, appearing for the fourth Respondent, submitted that, they do not propose to file any reply to the review petition.

Submission made by learned counsel for the fourth Respondent, as stated supra, is placed on record.

List the matter on <u>14.11.2018</u>, as agreed by learned counsel appearing for both the parties.

(S.D. Dubey) Technical Member (Justice N.K. Patil) Judicial Member